2D Layered Perovskites

Solution Processable Materials

The recent discovery that single-layer 2D perovskites can be prepared using solution processing techniques has been followed by enormous research into optoelectronic applications of 2D perovskites including light emitting diodes (LEDs), phototransistors, and solar cells.

Tunable Emission Wavelength

Photoluminescent 2D perovskites have an emission wavelength that changes depending on the layer thickness and the choice of amine and halide. We offer an excellent portfolio of the most popular 2D perovskite compositions for photoluminescence based devices.

Improved Moisture Stability

Solar cells fabricated with 2D perovskites have improved stability in moist air compared to 3D perovskites.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Formula</th>
<th>Cat. No.</th>
<th>Layer Thickness</th>
<th>(RNR₉)ₓ(MainH₂)ₓ₋ₓPbₓHalₙ₊ₓ</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(BA)ₓPbI₄</td>
<td>910961</td>
<td>n=1</td>
<td>Bu</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(BA)ₓPbBrₓ</td>
<td>910953</td>
<td>n=1</td>
<td>Bu</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(PEA)ₓPbI₄</td>
<td>910937</td>
<td>n=1</td>
<td>PE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(PEA)ₓPbBrₓ</td>
<td>910945</td>
<td>n=1</td>
<td>PE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(BA)ₓ(NA)ₓPbI₄</td>
<td>912816</td>
<td>n=2</td>
<td>Bu</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(BA)ₓ(NA)ₓPbI₁₀</td>
<td>912557</td>
<td>n=3</td>
<td>Bu</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(BA)ₓ(NA)ₓPbI₁₃</td>
<td>914363</td>
<td>n=4</td>
<td>Bu</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(BA)ₓ(NA)ₓPbI₁₆</td>
<td>912301</td>
<td>n=5</td>
<td>Bu</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

BA = n-butylammonium; PEA = 2-phenylethlammonium; MA = methylammonium, Bu = n-butyl, PE = 2-phenylethyl
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Suppressing Chemical Corrosions of Lithium Metal Anodes
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The lithium metal anode is essential for next-generation high-energy-density rechargeable Li-metal batteries. Although extensive studies have been performed to prolong the cycle life of Li-metal batteries, the calendar life, which associates with the chemical corrosion of Li metal in liquid electrolytes, has not been quantitatively understood. Here, by combing the titration gas chromatography method and cryogenic focused ion beam, a quantitative relationship between the chemical corrosion rate and electrochemically deposited Li morphology in various liquid electrolyte systems is established. It has been identified that the corrosion rate is dominated by the porosity of the deposited Li. The larger the porosity of deposited Li has, the faster the corrosion rate will be. Strategies to mitigate the chemical corrosion on Li thus to extend the calendar life of Li-metal batteries are further proposed. By strictly controlling the stacking pressure during Li plating, Li deposits with ultra-low porosity can be achieved, suppressing the corrosion rate to 0.08 ± 0.16%/day compared with 1.71 ± 0.19%/day of the high-porosity Li.

1. Introduction

With the growing demand of high-performance electric vehicles and personal portable devices, lithium (Li) metal anode is crucial for developing high energy density rechargeable batteries (>500 Wh kg\(^{-1}\)) due to its high specific capacity (3860 mAh g\(^{-1}\)) and low electrochemical potential (~3.04 V versus the standard hydrogen electrode).\(^1\)–\(^3\) Although extensive studies have been performed to overcome dendrite growth and low coulombic efficiency problems associated with the practical use of Li metal anode,\(^4\)–\(^6\) there is a lack of comprehensive understanding of the stability and storage properties of lithium metal anodes in liquid electrolytes, where corrosion plays a critical role.\(^7\)–\(^8\) Corrosion is a common chemical/electrochemical process that almost all metals will experience when exposed to an oxidizing environment.\(^9\),\(^10\) During the corrosion, the fresh metal surface will be oxidized, followed by the formation of its corresponding ionic species and the release of electrons.\(^11\),\(^12\) The corrosion is usually accompanied by the generation of a passivating layer which blocks the transfer of the electrons and eventually stops the continuous oxidation of the metal.\(^13\) Without the formation of this passivating layer, the corrosion of the metal will continue until the thermodynamic equilibrium is reached when electrochemical potential (\(\mu\)) difference between the metal and the environment becomes zero.\(^14\),\(^15\) In an electrochemical cell, the electrode materials are usually immersed in ion-conducting solvents, which will allow corrosions to take place.\(^16\) Fortunately, in the traditional Li-ion batteries (LIBs), a dense and passivating solid-electrolyte interphase (SEI) can be quickly formed during the first a few cycles,\(^17\),\(^18\) thus preventing the following corrosion of the electrode materials, which enables a stable cycling and storage life for the Li-ion Batteries (LIBs).

Several works have shown that the galvanic (electrochemical) corrosion of Li metal will happen when the interface between Li metal and current collector is exposed to the liquid electrolyte,\(^19\)–\(^21\) where the exchange of charges will take place.\(^22\) Kolesnikov A. and his co-workers monitored the morphological change of the Li powders casted on the Cu substrate and discovered a continuous shrinkage of the Li near the Li/Cu interface, which was believed to be caused by the Galvanic corrosion between Li and Cu. However, this Li metal and current collector interface can be largely blocked from the electrolyte if a dense Li morphology is achieved during Li plating.\(^23\) Therefore, more research work should be focusing on the chemical stability between Li metal and the liquid electrolytes. It is well known that because of the extremely low standard redox potential of Li (~3.04 V versus the standard hydrogen electrode), Li can immediately react with essentially any electrolyte upon contact:\(^23\),\(^24\)
Electrolyte + ne⁻→ SEI + soluble electrolyte decomposition products

\[ \text{(2)} \]

This simplified reaction pathway depicts the spontaneous chemical corrosion process between Li and the liquid electrolyte. The SEI layers formed during this process, which usually consist of Li₂O, LiF, and other organic compounds, can quickly passivate the Li metal surface.\[^{[25]}\] However, due to the inhomogeneity in the solubility and electronic conductivity of these SEI components,\[^{[26]}\] the continuous decomposition of the electrolyte might still occur on the SEI surface, which is observed in the recent Cryo-STEM work done by Boyle D. T. and his colleagues,\[^{[27]}\] leading to the further corrosion of the Li metal even after the formation of the initial passivating (SEI) layer. As a result of this continuous chemical corrosion, the corroded Li metal anode will suffer from a loss of active Li⁰ material, an increase of cell impedance, and eventually a poor cycling/storage life, which will cause the failure of the cell.

The lifetime of a battery system depends on two key factors: 1) Calendar life, the degradation over storage time, and 2) cycle life, the degradation over charge-discharge cycles.\[^{[28]}\] Despite the fact that tremendous amount of work has been done trying to extend the cycle life of Li-metal batteries, few previous works have explicitly considered the key parameters in determining the calendar life of Li-metal batteries, as well as the tradeoffs between the calendar life and cycle life. In the extreme case of primary Li battery, where calendar life is the more preferential factor than cycle life, much work has been done to protect the Li metal from degrading during storage by constructing a stable SEI layer for Li protection.\[^{[29]}\] However, such artificial SEI can be easily destructed during cycling because of the large volume change of Li plating/stripping. Therefore, it is crucial that the reactivity of the Li is strictly controlled when designing a secondary battery system so that the lifetime of it is not limited by either the calendar life nor the cycle life. Thus, this work focuses on deciphering the key parameters in determining the rate of the chemical corrosion (chemical reactivity) of Li metal in the liquid electrolyte during the extended resting period and find ways to prolong the calendar life of the rechargeable Li-metal batteries.

Here, we thoroughly studied the storage properties of the Li metal anode in liquid electrolyte. It is demonstrated that the major source of Li metal mass loss during storage is from the chemical corrosion. To evaluate the chemical stability of Li metal in liquid electrolytes, using the titration gas chromatography (TGC) method,\[^{[30]}\] we quantify the corrosion trend of plated Li in four representative liquid electrolyte systems: high concentration ether based “Bisalt” electrolyte (4.7m LiFSI + 2.3m LiTFSI in DME),\[^{[31]}\] low concentration ether based “Nitrate” electrolyte (1 M LiTFSI in DME:DOL with 2wt% LiNO₃), carbonate based “Gen 2” electrolyte (1.2 M LiPF₆ in EC:EMC) and Localized High Concentration Electrolyte (LHCE, LiFSI:DMETTE in molar ratio of 1:1.2:3).\[^{[32]}\] These four electrolytes are used in this work because they represent the four most popular electrolyte systems studied in the field.\[^{[33]}\] The morphological changes of the Li at different stages of corrosion are also recorded by Cryo-FIB/SEM.\[^{[34]}\] It is found that the porosity of the plated Li has a significant effect on determining the corrosion rate of the Li in liquid electrolyte. By combining the TGC method and also the three-dimensional (3D) reconstruction of the plated Li by Cryo-FIB/SEM, the porosity of the plated Li is quantified and its corresponding corrosion rate in the liquid electrolyte is calculated. Finally, by using the advanced LHCE and optimized stacking pressure (350 kPa),\[^{[35]}\] an ultra-low porosity of Li is achieved. The resulting low porosity Li experiences only 0.8% loss of Li⁰ mass after 10 days of immersion in the liquid electrolyte. The fundamental correlation among Li metal porosity, SEI composition, and the Li corrosion rate is revealed in this work.

2. Quantifying Li Metal Corrosion Rates in Liquid Electrolytes by TGC

First, 0.318 mAhr of Li is plated onto a 1.27 cm² of Copper (Cu) substrate at a rate of 0.5 mA cm⁻² in a coin cell setup with 55 µL of Bisalt, Nitrate and Gen 2 electrolyte. A relatively thin layer of Li (1.2 µm theoretical thickness) is used to accommodate the calibrated range of the TGC method for high-accuracy Li metal quantification. After plating, the deposited Li metal with the Cu substrate is kept in coin cell with the corresponding electrolytes with the open circuit condition (without linked to a cycler). After a certain period of storage time, the cells are disassembled and TGC method is used to quantify the mass of metallic Li⁰ remained on the Cu substrate (Figure 1a). The Li mass reten tion (%) as a function of storage time is shown in Figure 1b,f,j. For Li plated in all three electrolytes, there is a sudden drop of Li⁰ mass in the first 24 h of resting (Figure 1c,g,k). A similar trend is also found by Boyle D. T. and his colleagues in their recent work,\[^{[27]}\] where a fast drop of second cycle coulombic efficiency (CE) is observed after 24 h of cell resting. After that, the corrosion rates of Li plated in Bisalt and Nitrate electrolyte relatively slow down, which fluctuate at around 0.5 µg per day during 5 weeks of resting. However, a more drastic loss of Li⁰ mass is observed in the Gen 2 electrolyte. The continuous corrosion of Li causes a 60.8% loss of Li⁰ mass after 5 weeks of resting, especially after 2 weeks of resting when a sudden increase of corrosion rate is observed (Figure 1j).

The morphological study by Cryo-FIB/SEM further confirmed the corrosion trend obtained by TGC. The Li morphology in Bisalt and Nitrate electrolyte does not experience a significant change before and after 5 weeks of resting in open circuit (Figure 1d,e,h,i). The Li retains mostly its granular shape even after the resting period, although there is a decrease of Li thickness in both cases, which corresponds to the loss of Li⁰ mass. As expected, a sharp change in the morphology of Li plated in the Gen 2 electrolyte is observed by Cryo-FIB/SEM (Figure 1l,m). The freshly deposited Li in Gen 2 electrolyte exhibits a whisker-like morphology, while the corroded Li shows a porous and powder-like morphology. There is also a substantial decrease of Li thickness from 2.93 to 1.02 µm, which again agrees with the loss of Li⁰ mass quantified by TGC. The three different electrolyte systems, Bisalt, Nitrate, and Gen 2, show two drastically different corrosion trends: Bisalt and Nitrate electrolytes show a fast corrosion rate during the first 24 h, but stabilize quickly afterward, while Gen 2 shows a continuous corrosion rate throughout the 5 weeks of resting period. A similar
A corrosion trend study is also done for commercial ultra-thin (50 µm) Li foils (Figure S1, Supporting Information). It is found that the 50 µm Li foil without Cu substrate lost more active Li mass after one week of immersion than that of the one with Cu substrate, because it has more contact surface area with the electrolyte, further confirming the hypothesis that once the Li|Cu interface is blocked from the electrolyte, the chemical corrosion will not take place in an extensive rate. Whereas in the case of electrochemically deposited Li, since the electrolyte systems tested so far are based on fundamentally different chemistries, the resulted Li might have different SEI components and porosity. Therefore, there might be two possible reasons for the difference in the corrosion trends from the three electrolyte systems: 1) Li metal surface chemistry (SEI); 2) Li metal porosity.

To elucidate either surface chemistry or porosity dominates the corrosion rate resulting from the three electrolyte systems,
X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS) with depth profiling is performed on the freshly deposited and corroded Li metal to verify the interphases chemical information (Figure 2). We identified that the fresh SEI components in all three electrolyte systems are almost identical. In both Bisalt and Nitrate samples, the change of C–F implies the presence of Li salt (LiTFSI and LiFSI) on the surface of the Li, which can be confirmed by 533 eV peak in O 1s and (C–F at 293 eV and C–S=O at 289 eV) eV peaks in C 1s as well. Other than the Li salts, Li–F, Li2O, and typical organic species such as C–O/C=O/ROLi can be well located, which is consistent with previous literature results [30,32]. Figure 2c demonstrates the results from Gen 2 sample, which is quite like the Bisalt samples considering the major components include Li–F, Li2O and typical organic species in the freshly deposited samples. After 3 weeks of resting, in the Bisalt samples, there is an accumulation of Li salt in the surface of the Li, while other SEI components still preserve well in the surface layers. Similar to the Bisalt case, SEI components in the Nitrate sample also preserve well after 3 weeks of resting. However, in the Gen 2 case, the Li2O content mostly disappears after 3 weeks of resting. The surface layers mainly consist of LiF after the corrosion. The results of Gen 2 samples show that the SEI layers have undergone a significant change during the resting period (highlighted by blue and violet hades), which

![Figure 2](https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/10.1002/aenm.202202012)

Figure 2. The XPS depth profiling of deposited Li metal. Chemical evolution of F 1s, O 1s, C 1s, and Li 1s of a) Bisalt electrolyte and b) Nitrate electrolyte and c) Gen 2 electrolyte before and after 3 weeks of resting in its corresponding electrolyte.
correspond to the corrosion trend of the Li deposited in Gen 2 where a fast corrosion rate is observed throughout the 5 weeks of resting (Figure 1j). Based on the results so far, it can be observed that in the case of Bisalt and Nitrate electrolytes, where ether-based solvents are used, the SEI components are much more stable than that of the Gen 2 electrolyte. Although XPS depth profiling can provide us valuable information about the SEI components evolution during the corrosion period, the exact SEI structure of the Li deposited from each electrolyte remains unclear. Furthermore, the deconvoluted inorganic SEI components generated in the three electrolyte systems are mostly Li2O, LiF, Li2CO3. There is no big difference in the inorganic parts of the SEI layers among the three electrolytes. The reason for the high stability of SEI layers generated in the ether-based electrolyte might be the organic parts in the SEI layers (Figure S7, Supporting Information). More work should be done to carefully examine the nano-structure and the organic components of the Li SEI, which might be the key in determining the stability of the SEI layers. The importance of a stable SEI layer also reflects in the slow corrosion trend in the Bisalt and Nitrate samples. Therefore, we believe that the SEI layers need to be strong enough to survive the corrosion process to mitigate the continuous mass loss of Li in liquid electrolyte.

3. Effect of Porosity on the Li Corrosion Rate

Our previous work has shown stacking pressure could effectively control the porosity of deposited Li.[35] To investigate the effects of Li porosity on the corrosion rate, a split cell together with a pressure sensor is used for controlling the stacking pressure during Li plating (Figure 3a), which can help us to obtain deposited Li with different porosities. Similar to the previous case, 0.318 mAh of Li is plated onto Cu substrate at a rate of 0.5 mAh cm−2 in Gen 2 electrolyte. However, the electrode size is changed from 1.27 cm2 to 0.385 cm2 to accommodate the 0.5 mAh cm−2 case, 0.318 mAh of Li is plated onto Cu substrate at a rate of deposited Li with different porosities. Similar to the previous pressure during Li plating (Figure S1, Supporting Information). More work should be done to carefully examine the nano-structure and the organic components of the Li SEI, which might be the key in determining the stability of the SEI layers. The importance of a stable SEI layer also reflects in the slow corrosion trend in the Bisalt and Nitrate samples. Therefore, we believe that the SEI layers need to be strong enough to survive the corrosion process to mitigate the continuous mass loss of Li in liquid electrolyte.

3. Effect of Porosity on the Li Corrosion Rate

Our previous work has shown stacking pressure could effectively control the porosity of deposited Li.[35] To investigate the effects of Li porosity on the corrosion rate, a split cell together with a pressure sensor is used for controlling the stacking pressure during Li plating (Figure 3a), which can help us to obtain deposited Li with different porosities. Similar to the previous case, 0.318 mAh of Li is plated onto Cu substrate at a rate of 0.5 mAh cm−2 in Gen 2 electrolyte. However, the electrode size is changed from 1.27 cm2 to 0.385 cm2 to accommodate the smaller size of the split cell. Two different stacking pressures, coin cell pressure (150 kPa) and optimized Li plating pressure (350 kPa), are applied during the Li plating process. The plated Li with the Cu substrate is recovered from the split cell and immersed in flooded electrolyte (=1 mL) for corrosion study. Figure 3b shows the Li9 mass retention (%) of the Li plated under two pressures. The lower porosity of the Li plated under 350 kPa helps to suppress the Li corrosion rate. The metallic Li9 lost about 18% of its original mass as a contrast to 29.2% in the case of coin cell pressure. When comparing the improved Li corrosion trend to that of Li plated in Bisalt electrolyte under coin cell pressure, it is found that the two corrosion trends are similar (Figure 3c), meaning that with the optimized stacking pressure applied during Li plating, the resulting low porosity Li can have a limited corrosion rate even in conventional carbonate electrolyte. The cryo-FIB/SEM images also illustrated the morphological change of the Li plated under different pressures before and after corrosion. From the top-view and cross-sectional images of the Li plated under coin cell pressure, the resulting Li is in whisker-like morphology (Figure 3d,h). However, just after 7 days of immersion in Gen 2 electrolyte, there is a noticeable shrinkage in both the plated Li’s thickness and the diameter of whiskers. On the contrary, the morphology of Li plated under 350 kPa pressure did not show a significant change. The Li retained its dense morphology after 7 days of immersion, especially in the cross-section. The only noticeable change happens on the top surface of the dense Li where some flower-like materials begin to form on the surface. The effect of porosity on corrosion rate for low concentration ether-based electrolyte (LCE, 1 M LiTFSI in DME:DOL) is also conducted (Figure S2). A similar fast corrosion trend of the Li plated in Gen 2 (Figure S2a,c, Supporting Information) is also observed in the Li plated in the LCE, where porous Li whiskers are grown (Figure S2b,d, Supporting Information). With the results so far, it can be seen that the Li corrosion only takes place at the interface between Li and the electrolyte. Even when Li is deposited in the ether-based electrolyte (LCE), which is believed to generate more stable SEI, because of the high porosity of the deposited Li, the corrosion rate of Li in LCE is considerably higher than that of the Bisalt and Nitrate electrolytes. Therefore, the porosity of the plated Li should play a major role in controlling the corrosion rate of Li.

To validate if the Li electrode porosity is the dominating factor of corrosion rate, we selectively deposited Li in Gen 2 electrolyte, with a range of stacking pressure applied during Li plating to plate Li with different porosities. Cryo-FIB/SEM is used to obtain the 3D reconstruction of the plated Li and calculate its porosity (Figure 3l–o). The as-plated Li is then immersed into the Gen 2 electrolyte and rest for three weeks. The Li9 mass loss is used for calculating the corrosion rate of the Li using the following equation[10]:

\[
R = \frac{\Delta m}{A_0 T}
\]

where \( \Delta m \) is the change in mass, \( A_0 \) is the electrode area, and \( T \) is the corrosion time. The detailed calculated parameters are listed in Table S1, Supporting Information. As shown in Figure 3p, the calculated Li corrosion rate directly correlates with the plated Li’s porosity. The results further validated our hypothesis that the porosity of the plated Li is the major factor in determining the Li corrosion rate.

4. Suppressing Li Metal Corrosion: Advanced Electrolyte and Optimal Stack Pressure

With the results so far, it can be concluded that the controlling interface between Li and electrolyte is the key in suppressing the chemical corrosion of Li in liquid electrolytes: the contact area needs to be minimized while maintaining a robust SEI layer. To further demonstrate the feasibility of limiting the Li corrosion by controlling the porosity of Li, a novel electrolyte system, the localized high concentration electrolyte (LHCE),[10] with LiFSI:DME:TE in a molar ratio of 1:1.2:3 is used to plate Li under different stacking pressures. By applying the optimized stacking pressure of 350 kPa during Li plating, ultra-low porosity was achieved for deposited Li, which results in less than 0.8% of metallic Li loss after 10 days of resting in LHCE electrolyte (Figure 4a). The corrosion of the metallic Li is significantly mitigated by minimizing the contact area between Li and electrolyte. As shown in Figure 4b,c, the dense Li morphology...
is well retained even after 21 days of immersion in the LHCE electrolyte. In addition to the dense morphology, the SEI layers of the Li deposited in LHCE are also quite stable. After three weeks of resting, there are no observable changes in the SEI components (Figure S3, Supporting Information). Even at an elevated temperature of 40 °C, the corrosion rate of Li is lower...
than that of Gen 2 electrolyte (Figure S4, Supporting Information). However, when the resting ambient temperature is raised to 55 °C, a fast corrosion rate is observed for LHCE (Figure S4, Supporting Information). More work needs to be conducted to find out ways to mitigate the corrosion of Li metal at elevated temperatures.

Overall, the strategies for suppressing the Li corrosion in liquid electrolytes should focus on three parts. First and the most importantly, an optimized stacking pressure should be applied during Li plating to achieve a dense and uniform Li morphology. Since the corrosion of Li requires contact with the liquid electrolyte, by limiting the surface area (porosity) of the plated Li, the corrosion rate of Li during resting period can be effectively mitigated. Second, a dense and stable interface should be constructed during plating, which can be achieved mainly by using an advanced electrolyte system such as LHCE. A stable interface can further block the charge exchange between Li and the liquid electrolyte, thus limiting the corrosion of the plated Li. Finally, more work should be done to design a dense but flexible surface coating that can be tightly applied on Li surface and retain its structural integrity during cycling,[36] and possibly further mitigate the corrosion of Li metal at elevated temperatures. In this way, the total blockage of charge transfer between Li and liquid electrolyte can be achieved throughout the resting period, and the corrosion of Li metal can be further mitigated.

5. Conclusion

We systematically studied the corrosion rate of Li in liquid electrolytes regarding: 1) Li surface chemistry; and 2) Plated Li porosity. For the Li surface chemistry, it was found that the major SEI components mainly consist of LiF, Li2O, and organic Li-containing species for plated Li in both ether and carbonated-based electrolytes. The plated Li with a well-controlled porosity shows drastically decreased corrosion rate even in
Gen 2 electrolyte, illustrating that the most crucial parameter in determining the corrosion rate of Li is the contact surface area between Li and liquid electrolyte, which is the porosity of the Li. By using advanced electrolyte (LHCE) and optimized stacking pressure (350 kPa) for Li deposition, the ultra-low porosity Li is plated, which only has a 2D contact surface area with the liquid electrolyte. The low contact area surface helped the dense Li to stabilize in the liquid electrolyte and the Li loses only about 0.8% of its active mass after 10 days of immersion in liquid electrolyte. The work here has shown that by controlling the contact area between Li and liquid electrolyte can help to effectively suppress the corrosion of Li.
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