Thin Solid Electrolyte Layers Enabled by Nanoscopic Polymer Binding
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ABSTRACT: To achieve high-energy all-solid-state batteries (ASSBs), solid-state electrolytes (SE) must be thin, mechanically robust, and possess the ability to form low resistance interfaces with electrode materials. Embedding an inorganic SE into an organic polymer combines the merits of high conductivity and flexibility. However, the performance of such an SE-in-polymer matrix (SEPM) is highly dependent on the microstructure and interactions between the organic and inorganic components. We report on the synthesis of a free-standing, ultrathin (60 μm) SEPM from a solution of lithium polysulfide, phosphorus sulfide, and ethylene sulfide (ES), where the polysulfide triggers the in situ polymerization of ES and the formation of Li₃PS₄. Reactant ratios were optimized to achieve a room-temperature conductivity of 2 × 10⁻⁵ S cm⁻¹. Cryogenic electron microscopy confirmed a uniform nanoscopic distribution of β-Li₃PS₄ and PES (polyethylene sulfide). This work presents a facile route to the scalable fabrication of ASSBs with promising cycling performance and low electrolyte loading.

All-solid-state batteries (ASSBs) are being extensively studied as a next-generation energy-storage system because of their potential to provide high energy density and favorable safety properties.¹⁻⁴ In particular, solid-state electrolytes (SEs) promise to enable the utilization of Li metal as the anode, resulting in high energy density.⁵ However, because of the difficulty of SE processing, large amounts of SE material are typically employed, resulting in a thick (up to 1 mm) electrolyte layer in lab-scale ASSBs, corresponding to a low practical energy density at the cell level.⁶⁻⁹

SE systems generally belong to one of two categories: oxide- or sulfide-based SEs, which possess distinct processing considerations due to their chemistry. Oxide-based SEs are stable in air but are brittle, requiring high-temperature sintering to reduce the grain boundary resistance. This sintering process often results in undesirable side reactions between the SE and electrode materials at the interface, which in turn produces a high charge-transfer resistance. Furthermore, the low processability of oxide-based SEs requires costly and time-consuming fabrication strategies to reduce SE thickness, such as pulsed laser deposition (PLD),¹⁰ physical vapor deposition (PVD),¹¹ and chemical vapor deposition (CVD).¹² By contrast, sulfide-based SEs are quite deformable and can be densified at room temperature via cold-pressing, indicating their promise to generate a favorable interface with electrode materials.¹³ Despite this, it is still difficult to achieve a thin and dense SE pellet during ASSB fabrication without any cracks and voids regardless of the pressure applied during densification. As a result, the obtained SE pellet is usually as thick as hundreds of micrometers with relatively high porosity.¹⁴,¹⁵ The presence of such porosity in the SE pellet serves as a pathway for Li dendrites to penetrate through the SE and short the ASSBs. Therefore, it is critical to develop a versatile approach to prepare thin and dense SE films for achieving practical high-energy ASSBs.

To achieve a thin and dense ASSB, a polymer binder is often introduced into the inorganic SE, termed a solid electrolyte-in-polymer matrix (SEPM). In principle, this SEPM would reduce the porosity of the SE film, enhance its mechanical properties, and improve physical contact with the electrode materials. The performance of this SEPM system is known to be dependent on the microstructure and the distribution of inorganic and polymer domains, which are all defined by the applied
Figure 1. Schematic images for β-Li$_3$PS$_4$-S-PES synthesis and its structure.

\[
Li_2S + \frac{1}{3}P_2S_5 + xS \rightarrow \frac{2}{3}Li_3PS_4 + \beta\text{Li}_3PS_4-S-\text{PES}_{\text{soluble}} \rightleftharpoons S_3^- \cdot (\text{initiator for polymerization})
\]

An ideal SEPM should feature the mechanical flexibility of the polymer matrix, strong interactions at the polymer/electrolyte interface to avoid delamination, a small electrolyte domain size to mitigate fracture, and a three-dimensional continuous pathway to achieve high ionic conductivity. In this regard, a nanocomposite bicontinuous structure would be highly desirable; however, realizing such a structure using physical mixing is not possible. In contrast, we propose that a scalable solution-based process whereby both domains can be formed from soluble precursors is a promising approach. Such a method would also enable the deposition of SEPM directly onto the surface of electrodes to form intimate interfacial contacts with low resistance. Solution-based synthesis method. At present, the most common methods of synthesizing SEPM is the physical mixing of inorganic and organic components in an inert solvent followed by casting.\(^\text{16}\) Of note, Lee and co-workers\(^\text{17}\) reported an SE in a polymer matrix via the physical mixing of a monomer and the SE particles followed by mechanical compression. A polymer matrix was then formed by initiating the polymerization of the interstitial monomers in the SE, achieving a final relative density of 97%. Employing a microfabrication method, Kim and co-workers\(^\text{18}\) demonstrated a flexible Li-ion-conducting membrane, wherein a single layer of Li-ion-conducting ceramic particles were embedded in a polymer matrix. The as-prepared membrane was found to be as thin as 100 μm and possessed a high ionic conductivity. It is important to note that despite this progress, the development of more scalable methods for SEPM fabrication is still required to enable practical SEPMs at a commercial scale.

To obtain an SEPM with high ion conductivity, we found that it was necessary to tune the content ratio of β-Li$_3$PS$_4$ to S, and PES. First, the PES content was controlled by varying the amount of ES from 0.75, 1.5, to 3.0 mmol while the molar ratio of polysulphides such as S$_3^-$ radical as well other species of polysulphides because of the known disproportionation reaction of polysulphides.\(^\text{28,29}\) The above solution was then combined with a second ES/THF solution to initiate the in situ polymerization between Li$_3$PS$_4$ and S triggered by residual S$_3^-$ radicals produced by the P$_2$S$_5$/Li$_2$S dissolution, at which point a change of solution color was observed. After THF removal, a solid SEPM Li$_3$PS$_{4x+y}$-PES or Li$_3$PS$_4$-S-PES was obtained.

The solution synthesis of a solid electrolyte-in-polymer matrix (SEPM) with potential chemical interactions between the inorganic and polymeric nanodomains via an in situ polymerization reaction. β-Li$_3$PS$_4$ was selected as the inorganic SE because of its higher ionic conductivity than typical oxide-based SEs.\(^\text{1,3,26,27}\) Polyethylene sulfide (PES) was then in situ polymerized in this SE matrix, forming bonds with the β-Li$_3$PS$_4$ via polysulphide phases, resulting in a β-Li$_3$PS$_4$-S-PES nanocomposite. Cryogenic transmission electron microscopy (Cryo-TEM) and scanning TEM/energy dispersive X-ray spectroscopy confirm the nanoscopic distribution of the inorganic SEs and polymer matrix, yielding an ionic conductivity of 2.91 × 10$^{-5}$ S cm$^{-1}$ at room temperature after compositional optimization. With the aid of a nonwoven scaffold, we demonstrated a bendable and ultrathin SE which enables excellent electrochemical performance of ASSBs. Our approach provides a new scalable direction for the design and facile synthesis of thin, dense, and flexible solid electrolytes with high ionic conductivity and low interfacial resistance for practical solid-state batteries.
of S/Li2S was fixed to 2 (see details in Table S1). The corresponding samples are denoted as PES_S_x (x = 1, 2, and 3) correspond to 0.75, 1.5, and 3.0 mmol for ES, respectively and characterized by XRD, Raman, and Fourier transform infrared spectroscopy (FTIR). The XRD results (Figure 2a) show that with increasing PES content, the signal from the crystalline Li3PS4 phase becomes weaker, indicating that there are likely interactions between the Li3PS4 and PES, resulting in a nanoscale dispersion of crystalline domains. Note that pure PES is amorphous, as no obvious XRD signal from the PES was found. Raman, FTIR, and X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS) were carried out to further investigate the chemical structure of the SEPM (Figures 2b,c, S3, and S4). The strong peak at the Raman shift of 421 cm⁻¹ is known to be the characteristic vibration of ν_s(PS4), indicating the presence of the Li3PS4 in all the samples, whereas the weak peaks at 340 and 724 cm⁻¹ belong to δ(C−S−C) and υ(C−S) for PES, consistent with its characteristics peaks shown in Figure S3. These two weak peaks slightly increased in intensity when more ES was added. It is worth mentioning that in addition to the peaks belonging to Li3PS4 and PES, a new peak is present in the Raman spectra of β-Li3PS4-S-PES at ~672 cm⁻¹, which can be assigned to polysulides. This peak was slightly blue-shifted when the ES content was increased, suggesting that polysulides bridge the Li3PS4 and PES molecules. The presence of polysulides and C−S bonds in the resultant SEPM was confirmed by the XPS as shown in Figure S4. FTIR results (Figure 2c) further corroborated the above explanations, in which characteristic peaks for PES, Li3PS4, and Li3PS4_S were identified. It was observed in the FTIR results that the PES peaks in the SEPM also display a slight blue shift at 672 and 724 cm⁻¹ (Figure S3b, compared to that of pure PES, which is further indication of the chemical bonding between the PES and Li3PS4_S. On the basis of the above information, we concluded that there is likely bonding at the interface of PES and Li3PS4 domains.

The ionic conductivity values of the SEPMs were measured by electrochemical impedance spectroscopy (EIS) at room temperature (Figure S5), where it was found that PES_ES_2 exhibited an optimal conductivity of 9.64 × 10⁻⁶ S cm⁻¹. In order to further increase the ionic conductivity of the β-Li3PS4-S-PES SEPM, attempts were made to reduce the amount of insulating S in the SEPM (Table S2). The resultant samples are denoted as PES_S_x (x = 1, 2, and 3) correspond with the molar ratio of S/Li2S as 2, 1.6, 1.2, respectively. Consistent with the above result, β-Li3PS4 is crystalline while PES and S are amorphous, and their presence was confirmed by the XRD pattern. Raman, and FTIR results shown in Figure 2d–f. It is noteworthy that it is difficult to clarify the formation of the polymorphs in the obtained samples because of the relative low intensity of the diffraction signals. Nevertheless, no peaks for P2S6⁻ (395 cm⁻¹), P2S6⁴⁻ (382 cm⁻¹), and P2S7⁻ (406 cm⁻¹) species were found in the zoomed-in region of the Raman spectra of the SEPM composite with different ES and S content in Figure S6, confirming the dominance of the β-Li3PS4 phase. Therefore, slightly reducing the S content was found to increase the ionic conductivity of the β-Li3PS4-S-PES SEPM without altering the chemical or physical structure. The SEPM with the S/Li2S ratio of 1.6 resulted in the highest ionic conductivity of 2.03 × 10⁻⁵ S cm⁻¹ at room temperature, whereas the lower conductivities of SEPMs with S/Li2S ratios of 1.2 and 2.0 are likely due to insufficient polysulide connectivity between Li3PS4 and PES and the overabundance of insulating S, respectively. Thus, given the above analysis, the PES_S_2 sample was selected as the SEPM in the following characterizations and electrochemical tests. The Nyquist plot for PES_S_2 was fitted with the equivalent circuit shown in Figure S7. According to the EIS fitting, the resistance from the
bulk is $\sim 2366 \, \Omega$ while that from the grain boundary is $\sim 353 \, \Omega$, indicating that the ionic transport in the SE is dominated by bulk resistance. The actual weight ratio of $\beta$-$\text{Li}_3\text{PS}_4$, S, and PES in $\beta$-$\text{Li}_3\text{PS}_4$-S-PES SEPM was determined to be 65:15:20 by thermogravimetric analysis shown in Figure S8. The conductivity of the SEPM was also measured over a temperature range from 25 to 45 °C, and the activation energy was calculated to be 0.41 eV (Figure 3c,d), comparable to that of bulk $\beta$-$\text{Li}_3\text{PS}_4$ (0.47 eV), which agrees with reported references summarized in Table S3. The ionic conductivity of the SEPM at temperature extremes of −15 and 65 °C were also measured to be $2.51 \times 10^{-6}$ S cm$^{-1}$ (Figure S9) and $1.29 \times 10^{-4}$ S cm$^{-1}$ (Figure S10), respectively.

**Potential Interaction between Inorganic and Organic Domains.**

To unravel the effect of microstructure on the ionic conductivity of the $\beta$-$\text{Li}_3\text{PS}_4$-S-PES SEPM, its morphology and nanostructure were examined by SEM and cryogenic TEM. For comparison, a mixture of $\beta$-$\text{Li}_3\text{PS}_4$, S, and PES was prepared by physical blending, which yielded an ionic conductivity of $1.28 \times 10^{-6}$ S cm$^{-1}$ (Figure S12). As shown in Figure S11, all of the particles in the in situ-formed composite are almost identical (Figure S11a,b), while those in the physically blended mixture are clearly distinguishable and show distinct morphologies for the different SE components (Figure S11c,d). This indicates that $\beta$-$\text{Li}_3\text{PS}_4$, S, and PES are evenly distributed in the in situ-formed $\beta$-$\text{Li}_3\text{PS}_4$-S-PES with intimate particle contact beyond the detection capability of SEM, whereas the contact between the different species in the physically blended mixture is easily detectable and therefore insufficient. Hence, we conclude that the discrepancy in ionic conductivity stems from the homogeneous nanoscale distribution of organic and inorganic SEPM constituents.

In order to visualize the distribution of the PES, S, and $\text{Li}_3\text{PS}_4$ at the nano scale (Figure 4a), scanning transmission electron microscopy—energy dispersive spectroscopy (STEM-EDS) was conducted under cryogenic protection, which is essential to reducing beam damage to the SEPM.32 The distribution of carbon (C), sulfur (S), and phosphorus (P) is shown in Figure 4b–d. Because C and P originate solely from the PES and $\text{Li}_3\text{PS}_4$, they can be used to represent and distinguish the PES and $\text{Li}_3\text{PS}_4$, respectively, revealing an extremely uniform distribution at the nanoscale with no visible elemental aggregation.

To further reveal the nanostructure of the $\beta$-$\text{Li}_3\text{PS}_4$-S-PES SEPM, cryogenic high-resolution TEM (cryo-HRTEM) was employed. Consistent with the above SEM and EDS results, the SEPM displays a uniform and fully integrated structure (Figure 4e), which consisted of many crystalline and amorphous nanodomains (Figure 4f). By the fast Fourier transformation (FFT) of Figure 4e, polycrystalline rings (inset in Figure 4e) can be indexed to the $\text{Li}_3\text{PS}_4$, which is consistent with XRD results. The absence of characteristic diffraction patterns of PES and S further confirms their amorphous nature. These crystalline $\text{Li}_3\text{PS}_4$ nanodomains display an average size of roughly 5 nm and are observed to be embedded into the PES-Polymer matrix. Combined with the above cryo-STEM-EDS results, we conclude that an even distribution of the organic and inorganic species at a nano scale was obtained via the solution-based in situ polymerization method.

**Freestanding SEPM@Kevlar Film Fabrication.** With the prepared $\beta$-$\text{Li}_3\text{PS}_4$-S-PES SEPM nanocomposite, we then
Integration was achieved by dropping Li₃PS₄_S_PES onto the solvent, yielding an ultrathin SEPM@Kevlar mat (Figure 5a), followed by the removal of residual Kevlar pellet (b), and the pressed dried SEPM@Kevlar (c).

Fabricated a thin, dense, and flexible SE supported by a Kevlar mat, the addition of which (Figure 5a) has been previously found to further enhance the mechanical properties of SE films without sacrificing ionic conductivity (Figure S13). This integration was achieved by dropping Li₃PS₄_S_PES solution onto the Kevlar mat (Figure 5a), followed by the removal of residual solvent, yielding an ultrathin SEPM@Kevlar film (Figure 5b). The SEPM@Kevlar film was also found to be remarkably flexible, as shown in Video S1. The thickness of the electrolyte membrane can be tuned by controlling the volume of the Li₃PS₄_S_PES solution. A 60 μm thickness SEPM@Kevlar film was achieved in this regard with a very smooth and dense surface (Figure 5c–f) at an estimated porosity of 5.6% (see detailed calculations in the Supporting Information), demonstrating the promise of this SEPM@Kevlar film fabrication method toward practical high-energy all-solid-state batteries.

Electrochemical Test. The electrochemical performance of the ultrathin free-standing SEPM was first evaluated in Li−In symmetric cells at 60 °C as displayed in Figure 6a. The applied current was 0.5 mA cm⁻², and the capacity for each stripping/plating was 0.25 mAh cm⁻², that is, 0.05 mg Li, and yields stable and flat voltage plateaus during repeated cycling. The overpotential was found to be about 100 mV and remained stable over the duration of the test. The cell was able to run as long as 106 h without any shorting, despite the low thickness of the prepared SEPM. With continuous cycling, sharp peaks began appear at the end of the charge and discharging process, which may be attributable to insufficient Li in the Li₀.₅In alloy, leading to the sluggish diffusion of Li ions.

The ultrathin free-standing SEPM was then applied in a full-cell, where a Li−In alloy and LiNbO₃ coated LiNi₀.₈₀Co₀.₁₅Al₀.₀₅O₂ (LNO-NCA) were employed as the anode and cathode, respectively (Figure 6b,c). Of note, the LiNbO₃ coating has been commonly applied to mitigate reactions between NCA and Li₃PS₄. This cell was cycled at 60 °C between 2.5 and 4.2 V at a current density of 18 mA g⁻¹, producing an initial reversible capacity of 156.3 mAh g⁻¹, and retained 81.2% of its capacity after 20 cycles. The capacity from OCV to 3.5 V during the initial charge process can be attributed to the side reactions between the LNO-NCA and the SEPM, which disappears in the following cycle, indicating that this side reaction was subsequently inhibited. Rate tests (Figure 6c) demonstrate a 1C capacity of 33 mAh/g which recovered to 121.6 mAh/g when the rate returned to C/15. The gradual capacity degradation may be caused by the side reaction between SEPM and NCA at high temperature, which will be a subject of further investigation moving forward.

We have developed a novel synthesis method for nanocomposite solid electrolyte-in-polymer matrices (SEPM) based on the in situ free-radical polymerization of PES inside of a Li₃PS₄ solution. This in situ polymerization reaction produces an SEPM with intimate contact between the crystalline and polymer species and was optimized for ionic conductivity by tuning the content of the S and ES, yielding an SEPM with an ionic conductivity of 2.5 × 10⁻⁵ S cm⁻¹ at room temperature. XRD, Raman, and FTIR results confirm the coexistence of the crystallized Li₃PS₄ and amorphous PES in addition to cryo-TEM and cryo-STEM/EDX results, which showed that the Li₃PS₄ and PES domains form a nanocomposite with domain sizes of a few nanometers. The nanoscopic distribution of the organic and inorganic species was found to enable high ionic conductivity despite the inclusion of insulating S and PES into the solid-state electrolyte. From a solution precursor, an ultrathin 60 μm free-standing electrolyte was fabricated and applied in a solid-state battery utilizing an LNO−NCA cathode and Li−In alloy anode, which delivered a cathode capacity of 156.3 mAh/g. Our approach demonstrates the great promise of in situ solution synthesis methods for the formation of nanocomposite electrolytes that are thin, mechanically robust, and conductive, all attributes essential to enable practical all-solid-state batteries. This work represents a synthesis approach...
to achieve easily processable, thin, mechanically stable solid electrolyte layers with high performance.
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