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Interphase control for high performance lithium metal batteries using ether aided ionic liquid electrolyte

An ionic liquid and organic solvent-based non-flammable hybrid electrolyte with high lithium salt concentration achieves superior cycling stability of a high voltage NMC-Li metal battery, which is a significant advantage in comparison to the limited performance of pure IL based electrolytes at room temperature. This hybrid electrolyte contributes to lesser dead lithium formation along with highly dense lithium morphology. Overall, a beneficial SEI formation played a crucial role in achieving the cycling stability, which was evident by the preferential decomposition of electrolyte components at the interphase, as studied by MD simulation.
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Future rechargeable Li metal batteries (LMBs) require a rational electrolyte design to stabilize the interfaces between the electrolyte and both the lithium metal anode and the high voltage cathode. This remains the greatest challenge in achieving high cycling performance in LMBs. We report an ether-aided ionic liquid electrolyte which offers superior Li metal deposition, high voltage (5 V) stability and non-flammability. High performance cycling of LiNi₀.₅Mn₀.₃Co₀.₂O₂ (4.4 V) and LiNi₀.₆Mn₀.₂Co₀.₂O₂ (4.3 V) cells is demonstrated with high coulombic efficiency (>99.5%) at room temperature and elevated temperatures, even at high practical areal capacity for the latter of 3.8 mA h cm⁻² and with a capacity retention of 91% after 100 cycles. The ether-ionic liquid chemistry enables desirable plated Li microstructures with high packing density, minimal 'dead' or inactive lithium formation and dendrite-free long-term cycling. Along with XPS studies of cycled electrode surfaces, we use molecular dynamics simulations to demonstrate that changes to the electrolyte interfacial chemistry upon addition of DME plays a decisive role in the formation of a compact stable SEI.

Introduction

Higher energy density lithium metal batteries (LMBs) require stable, dendrite-free and high efficiency Li metal cycling.¹ However, the ~3.04 V (vs. SHE) reductive electrode potential for Li makes it highly reactive resulting in a thermodynamic instability for current organic electrolytes.²,³ This issue must be addressed if LMBs are to become a high volume commercial reality. Surface engineering of the Li anode, alloying of Li with another metal to reduce the reactivity, and creation of an artificial passivation layer have been suggested as possible solutions.⁴-⁷ A more feasible cost- and energy-efficient method to stabilise Li metal cycling, however, is through the design of
an electrolyte formulation which is itself intrinsically more stable, as well as offering a chemistry that can tailor a homogenous, conductive solid electrolyte interphase (SEI). In this way the designed high safety electrolyte may protect the lithium metal through an in situ formation of a protective barrier, whilst at the same time offering a wide stability window that makes it compatible with a high voltage cathode.

Traditional carbonate electrolytes have been optimised for graphite based lithium-ion batteries (LiBs), however the SEI formed on Li metal is non-protective which leads an ongoing consumption of electrolyte during cycling and ultimately cell failure. Organic ethers have been considered as replacement solvents in LMBS; however, their poor oxidative stability (< 4 V) and flammability limits their use in battery applications. Such electrolytes are also not reliable for high voltage Ni-Rich cathode chemistries. Despite their low intrinsic stability, ethers and carbonates have been explored in high voltage Li devices by exploiting high salt concentrated electrolytes (HCEs) and highly fluorinated co-solvent explored in high voltage Li systems. However, 80IL20DME electrolyte, 80IL20DME still maintains an excellent oxidative stability up to 5.1 V vs. Li (Fig. 1a). Our previous MD simulations showed that all DME molecules in 80IL20DME electrolyte are coordinated with Li, leaving no ‘free’ uncoordinated DME, which can account for the high oxidative stability of this hybrid electrolyte. Despite the ether component being highly flammable, the hybrid 80IL20DME (Fig. S1a iii and iv, ESIf) is non-flammable, just as the neat IL (Fig. S1a i and ii, ESIf) which is one of the key factors towards its application to achieve safe high voltage batteries.

NMC cathodes are categorised under ‘solid-solution’ cathodes and considered as one of the most aggressive cathodes amongst the high voltage redox chemistries. For example, cycling of an NMC811|Li cell with commercial 1 M LiPF6 in EC/DMC electrolyte shows poor capacity retention (<20%) after 200 cycles. In contrast, our proposed ether-modified IL, 80IL20DME electrolyte exhibits an excellent long-term stability of NMC811|Li cell at room temperature with an average coulombic efficiency ~99.8% and capacity retention of 81% after 300 cycles, as shown in Fig. 1b. Even at elevated temperature (~50 °C) we obtained excellent capacity retention and stable cycling over 500 cycles, as shown in Fig. S2 (ESIf). A comparable result was recently reported by Cao et al., and a similar ~80% capacity retention at room temperature after 300 cycles has been achieved for the NMC811 system when a fluorinated orthoformate based electrolyte (TFEO) was used to stabilise the lithium metal.

Further, to increase the cell level energy density, a higher cathode loading of NMC622 (active material ~22.4 mg cm⁻²) vs. Li cell with applied current density of 0.875 mA cm⁻² was also demonstrated in Fig. 1c (the voltage profile is shown in Fig. S4a, ESIf). This gives as high as 3.8 mA h cm⁻² areal capacity for over 100 cycles with an average coulombic efficiency of ~99.23% when a thin lithium source (100 µm) and limited electrolyte amount (40 µL) was used. Such high loading cathode was successfully cycled for 170 cycles before cell failure (Fig. S4b, ESIf). Additionally, longer term cycling of NMC622|Li (an areal capacity ~ 1 mAh cm⁻²) is also presented in Fig. S3, (ESIf) which shows outstanding cycling over 200 cycles with an average coulombic efficiency of 99.5% and an excellent capacity retention of ~97% at room temperature (the high temperature 50 °C performance is also shown in Fig. S3, ESIf). Previously, Jiao et al. demonstrated the similar cycling using a dual salt (2 M LiTFSI-2 M LiDFOB in DME) in ether solvent, DME at room temperature where they achieved 90.5% capacity retention after 300 cycles with an average coulombic efficiency of 94.6%.

A recent perspective article suggests the key factors influencing the cycling ability of high energy lithium batteries are the cathode loading, electrolyte amount and the thickness of the Li foil. These parameters all have a critical impact on the cycle life of LMB cells with performance being challenged when using realistic, commercially viable loadings of these materials.

Results and discussion

High voltage stability and NMC|Li cycling at a practical level

Both the 100IL electrolyte (3.2 mol kg⁻¹ LiFSI in C₄mpyrFSI IL) and ether-aided IL, 80IL20DME electrolyte (3.2 mol kg⁻¹ LiFSI in C₄mpyrFSI:DME 80 : 20 wt :wt) have excellent oxidative stability, as seen in Fig. 1a, reflecting their applicability in high voltage battery electrochemistry. The 100IL has an oxidative stability of up to 5.8 V vs. Li on a glassy carbon electrode. Although DME itself has a low stability (< 4 V vs. Li), the ether-aided hybrid IL system, 80IL20DME, still maintains an excellent oxidative stability up to 5.1 V vs. Li (Fig. 1a). Our previous MD simulations showed that all DME molecules in 80IL20DME electrolyte are coordinated with Li, leaving no ‘free’ uncoordinated DME, which can account for the high oxidative stability of this hybrid electrolyte. Despite the ether component being highly flammable, the hybrid 80IL20DME (Fig. S1a iii and iv, ESIf) is non-flammable, just as the neat IL (Fig. S1a i and ii, ESIf) which is one of the key factors towards its application to achieve safe high voltage batteries.
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Fig. 1  Electrochemical properties and cycling performances of electrolytes. (a) Electrochemical stability window of 100IL and 80IL20DME electrolytes; Room temperature cycling performances of 80IL20DME electrolyte in (b) NMC811|Li cell (~6.4 mg cm\(^{-2}\) cathode mass loading, 1C = 1 mA cm\(^{-2}\)) within the potential range between 2.8 V and 4.4 V at C/2 rate (0.5 mA cm\(^{-2}\)) current density after two formation cycles at C/10 rate (0.1 mA cm\(^{-2}\)) current density, using a thin Li foil (50 \(\mu\)m), (c) NMC622|Li (22.4 mg cm\(^{-2}\) cathode mass loading, 1C = 3.5 mA cm\(^{-2}\)) cell within the potential range between 2.8 V and 4.3 V at C/4 rate (current density of 0.875 mA cm\(^{-2}\)) after two formation cycles at C/10 rate (0.35 mA cm\(^{-2}\)) current density using a thin Li foil (100 \(\mu\)m), (d) anode-free cell cycling of NMC622 (22 mg cm\(^{-2}\) cathode mass loading) vs. Cu within the potential window between 3 V and 4.3 V at a current density of C/7 rate (0.5 mA cm\(^{-2}\)) for both plating and stripping steps.
As described above, the proposed ether-IL electrolyte shows excellent performance when utilising such high areal loadings, when compared to the state-of-the-art electrolytes. Rate capability testing was also performed using a variety of charge-discharge current density protocols, i.e. varying the discharge current density (Fig. S5a, ESI†) and the charge current density (Fig. S5b, ESI†), respectively indicating good capacity retention even at 1C.

Owing to its impressive stability, a zero-excess Li metal cell against NMC622, or so called “anode-free” NMC|Cu cell (NMC622 mass loading ∼22.4 mg cm⁻²), was also assembled and cycled (Fig. 1d). This represents the highest loadings for such an anode-free configuration for a liquid electrolyte system reported thus far. Fig. 1d shows this cell is capable of a 68% capacity retention after 50 cycles at room temperature in a non optimised cell (the voltage profile is shown in Fig. S6a, ESI†). Previously, Dahn and co-workers reported the highest capacity retention (∼80%) after 90 cycles with NMC|Cu cell cycled in a pouch cell configuration where they have used a dual salt electrolyte (LiDFOB + LiBF₄ in FEC/DMC) at 40 °C with optimised cell pressure (NMC622 mass loading 16 mg cm⁻²). Recent literature suggests a more unified way to compare performance from different experiments and different electrolyte systems. They suggested reporting the lithium inventory retention rate (LIRR) of the anode-free cells and compared this across all reported systems within the period of 2016–2020. Under this analysis, the ether-IL electrolyte used here achieved a LIRR of 99.2% which lies among the highest values reported thus far for the liquid electrolyte systems (Table S1, ESI†), alongside that reported by Dahn and co-workers. Further, the stack energy density has been calculated where the stack is considered to consist of double side coated high loading NMC cathode (and Al current collector), two layers of separator, and the Li metal anode. The mass of electrolyte was not included in the calculation to allow the comparison with literature reports. The stack specific energy was determined to be 480 Wh kg⁻¹ and the energy density was 798 Wh L⁻¹. The cell parameters are tabulated in Table S2 (ESI†). The energy density of NMC|Cu “anode free” cell in the discharged state was also calculated using the same parameters, while considering Cu as the anode current collector (8 µm thickness cf. 100 µm for the Li cell) in the stack. The specific energy of the anode free cell is 488 Wh kg⁻¹ and energy density is 1132 W h L⁻¹.

Efficient Li plating and stripping with a minimal amount of ‘electrochemically inactive’ Li formation during cycling is required to achieve high-capacity retention in an anode-free cell. The quantification and characterisation of Li metal cycling efficiency on Cu in different electrolyte systems is usually undertaken using a Li–Cu half-cell. Thus, in the following section, we have electrochemically measured the unreacted ‘dead’ or inactive Li at the Cu-interface in our ether-modified IL electrolyte.

**Electrochemically inactive lithium measurement in Li-Cu cell**

Conventionally, the irreversible capacity loss in a high energy density Li metal battery is assigned to the formation of ‘dead’ or inactive lithium which can be due to the formation of Li⁺ compounds in the SEI and/or so-called inactive lithium formation which becomes electronically isolated by the SEI components during cycling. The quantification of these two processes i.e. ‘dead’ or inactive lithium (Li⁺inactive) and Li⁺ compound consumption in the SEI (Li⁺SEI), has been very difficult until Fang et al. recently showed a new method which can detect their individual influences and quantify them. In their work, the first cycle coulombic efficiency loss in a Li-Cu cell was measured. The remaining residue at the Cu electrode surface after the stripping process (which should be residue from the combination of both Li⁺SEI and Li⁺inactive) was differentiated by their chemical reactivity towards water and the released H₂ gas was correlated to Li⁺inactive by a simple titration technique using gas chromatography. In the current work, the first cycle coulombic efficiency loss is 15% and 8% for the neat IL (100IL) and the ether-aided IL electrolyte (80IL20DME), respectively (Fig. 2a). Following the quantification method developed by Fang et al., 11% of the loss in the 100IL electrolyte was identified to be due to the SEI formation and nearly 89% was attributed to the formation of Li⁺inactive (Fig. 2b). Given that there is a higher chance to accumulate the inactive lithium in consecutive cycles, this neat IL electrolyte would lead to faster cell decay and likely cell failure due to short circuit. Whereas for the ether-modified IL, the capacity losses due to the formation of SEI and inactive lithium were 43% and 57%, respectively. Furthermore Fig. 2c shows that there is relatively more SEI formation and less inactive lithium contribution in the presence of 80IL20DME. This suggests that the ether-aided IL is capable of forming a comparatively more uniform, and potentially slightly thicker, protective SEI compared with the neat IL.

Worth to mention here that the increased consumption of Li due to SEI formation can be correlated to the speciation at the Li interface which is discussed later while revealing the SEI chemistry (Fig. 3).

Cryo-FIB/SEM was performed to interrogate the microstructure of lithium electrochemically plated onto a Cu substrate at a current density of 1 mA cm⁻² for 1 hour. Different morphologies are observed for the two electrolytes where the neat IL appears to form thinner rod- or whisker-like lithium deposits and the ether IL shows relatively larger grains or column-like lithium deposits on the Cu substrate, as seen both from the cross-section and top view (Fig. 2d-g). The denser deposition of Li using the hybrid electrolyte suggests homogeneous plating/stripping process. This was further validated by the long term Li plating/stripping coulombic efficiency measurement (Fig. S6c and d, ESI†) which resulted an average coulombic efficiency of ∼98.87%. Recent literature suggests that high coulombic efficiency is correlated with the dense Li deposition with low porosity. The deposit morphology is a crucial factor to maintain the electronic connection in the deposits as literature suggests the thinner whisker-like deposits are more likely to become disconnected and electronically isolated during repeated cycling due to their higher aspect ratio, leading to inactive lithium formation (Li⁺inactive). This is indeed consistent with the inactive lithium quantification presented above. We correlate these results with full cell cycling,
shown in Fig. S7, (ESI†) where these two electrolytes were subjected to cycling at high current rate in a NMC622|Li battery. Under identical conditions, the cell based on the 100IL electrolyte had a rapid capacity fade after only 40 cycles, whereas the ether-aided IL showed very stable cycling behaviour with 99.8% coulombic efficiency over the measurement duration. This supports
Fig. 3  Morphology and SEI analysis after extended Li metal cycling. (a) Li|Li cell cycling carried out at a current density of 1 mA cm\(^{-2}\) and an areal capacity of 1 mA h cm\(^{-2}\) for each plating and stripping step for 200 hours (100 cycles) at elevated temperature (50 °C). Morphology of (b) top surface after 10 cycles, (c) after 100 cycles and (d) cross-section by Cryo-FIB after 100 cycles in 100IL electrolyte, (e) top surface after 10 cycles, (f) after 100 cycles and (g) cross-section by Cryo-FIB after 100 cycles in 80IL20DME electrolyte, XPS analysis on plated Li metal electrode after 100 cycles (h–j) for 100IL and (k–m) for 80IL20DME electrolyte.
the inactive lithium quantification process and its practical use in screening the best performing electrolytes for future battery applications. We clearly see here that, the ether-aided IL has the potential to perform well at a high current density (1.75 mA cm$^{-2}$) and for longer term cycling compared to the neat IL electrolyte shown here as well as the other state-of-the-art electrolytes discussed above.$^{13,22}$

Poor high capacity cycling of high voltage cathodes in Li metal cells has often been correlated to dendrite growth and inactive Li formation at the Li metal interface. Better understanding of the influence of electrolyte chemistry on the stability of the Li metal interface will also contribute to the study of other high-voltage cathode systems. To that end, in the next section, we undertook an extensive study of the Li|Li symmetrical cell electrode surfaces to have a better understanding of the morphological evolution and SEI formation.

**Li metal anode cycling, morphology and SEI analysis**

Applying a high current density enables fast charge/discharge, but is generally very challenging for most organic electrolytes, due to the faster metal ion depletion accompanied by the formation of high cation (Li$^+$) concentration gradients, leading to dendrite formation and internal short-circuits.$^3$ Herein, we demonstrate in Fig. 3 that a very stable performance of the proposed ether-aided IL electrolyte can be achieved in a Li|Li symmetrical cell where a relatively high current density of 1 mA cm$^{-2}$ was applied and the total capacity was 1 mA h cm$^{-2}$ for each charging and discharging process for up to 200 hours.

In Fig. 3a, the voltage profile of the symmetrical cell for both the electrolytes suggests a consistent Li plating and stripping behaviour throughout the experiment. Notably an over-potential of 50 mV, with a slight increase towards the end of the polarisation step indicating mass transport limitations, was observed in case of 100 IL (inset Fig. 3a). Furthermore, this can be rationalised if we consider that the 100IL has a slightly lower bulk ionic conductivity (Table S3, ESI†), and the greater proportion of ‘dead’ or inactive lithium (indicated above in Fig. 2) would lead to a more tortuous Li-ion diffusion. On the other hand, very stable cycling is observed for the 80IL20DME system with a lower over-potential. The EIS data (Fig. S8, ESI†) indicates that the ether containing electrolyte has a slightly higher, albeit more stable SEI resistance compared with the 100IL, resulting in improved transport properties as well as less inactive lithium, which appears to reflect in smoother cycling profile with the lower potential.

Here, we demonstrate a dendrite free interface morphology through a post mortem analysis where the cross-section morphology is also captured by using a Cryo-FIB/SEM technique, which can avoid any beam damage at the metal surface.$^{30}$ The top surfaces of the plated lithium using the 80IL20DME electrolyte after 10 and 100 cycles are presented in Fig. 3e and f and are compared with their counterparts using the 100IL electrolyte in Fig. 3b and c. This shows the formation of a grainy or particulate structure after 10 cycles in the 100IL system, conversely, the particle sizes appear to be overall larger and more closely packed in the presence of DME. After 100 cycles with the 100IL electrolyte, the surface morphology looks uneven with a combination of large, as well as smaller particles evident (Fig. 3c). However, in the case of the 80IL20DME electrolyte after 100 cycles (Fig. 3f), the grains are larger and a dense deposit is maintained with non-dendritic Li mounds. The cross-section morphology shows a non-porous packing of deposited lithium for 100IL (Fig. 3d) with some small particles at the grain boundaries. In contrast, 80IL20DME forms connected, smooth and larger grained deposits maintaining a robust structure after 100 cycles (Fig. 3g). Therefore, it can be concluded here that sustained lithium cycling leads to a stable, homogenous and columnar structure on the metal surface with the use of ether-aided IL-based electrolytes, and this structure is more homogeneous than that of the 100IL electrolyte. The addition of the ether clearly optimises the interface morphology and plays a significant role in the stabilisation of the Li metal.

The composition of the SEI formed on the Li anode surface was analysed by XPS for two electrolyte systems and compared in Fig. 3h–m. Comparing the C 1s spectra between the 100IL and the 80IL20DME in Fig. 3h and k, a prominent C–N peak intensity at 286.5 eV appears in the 100IL system, which is likely related to the decomposition of the organic cation, although we cannot rule out the possibility that IL ions remain entrapped at the surface or within the SEI.$^{18}$ Interestingly, this peak is remarkably suppressed in the 80IL20DME, instead presenting organic aliphatic C–H/C–C intensity at $\sim$ 285 eV. This indicates that DME breakdown is more prevalent at the Li anode interphase for 80IL20DME electrolyte. The O1s peak between 530 and 532 eV in Fig. 3i and l suggests the formation of mostly LiOH, Li$_2$O and Li$_2$CO$_3$ in case of 100IL.$^{31}$ The presence of Li$_2$CO$_3$ can be due to a minor contamination of CO$_2$ which reacts with Li$_2$O.$^{32}$ The intensity of this peak in the 80IL20DME system increases with a narrower FWHM, suggesting a higher selectivity in the breakdown products in the DME-aided IL; probably the formation of an oligomeric product, RO$_2$Li, due to DME breakdown, resulting in a more oxide rich SEI formation.$^{32}$ Aurbach et al. reported that this oligomeric reduced species mostly forms at the outer layer and induces good flexibility in the SEI with strong binding affinity towards the Li surface.$^{32}$ The S 2p and N 1s spectra typically represents the contribution from the inorganic species, e.g. FSI$^-$, which is present in salt (Li-FSI) as well as in the IL (C$_{3}$mpyr-FSI). The strong intensity of these peaks for 100IL (Fig. S9a and b, ESI†) indicates that the FSI$^-$ anion was preferentially decomposed and contributed to formation of the SEI. The decreased intensity of the anion breakdown peaks (Fig. S9d and e, ESI†) along with the decrease in the C–N peak (Fig. 3k) discussed above confirms that less IL is present in the SEI layer of the 80IL20DME system. The diminished peak intensity also suggests the FSI$^-$ decomposition mechanism is modified in the presence of DME since, N 1s shows an additional peak at 399.2 eV for 100IL corresponding to the N(SO$_2$F$_2$) formation, also present in F 1s the spectra,$^{14}$ whereas a less intense peak at 398 eV corresponds to the formation of N(SO$_2$)$^{13}$ (Fig. S9b and e, ESI†). However, the overall Li 1s peak intensity is increased in the 80IL20DME electrolyte (Fig. 3m), suggesting a more Li rich interphase resulting in a more homogeneous flux of lithium.
ions and more uniform deposition morphology during high current density cell cycling. The F1s spectra (Fig. S9e and f, ESI†) shows the formation of LiF-rich species for the electrolytes as well. In addition to the analysis of the Li anode surface from the symmetric cell cycling, the anode surface was analysed from the NMC622/Li cell which was cycled at even higher current density of 1.75 mA cm$^{-2}$, (Fig. S7, ESI†). Due to the higher current cycling, we noticed a comparatively higher participation of FSI$^-$ anion breakdown products followed by modified decomposition mechanism with the ether-IL electrolyte as seen in Fig. S10i–n (ESI†). In parallel, DME is also decomposed to form RO$_2$Li species (Fig. S10e and f, ESI†) as observed similarly in the comparatively lower current density cycling in the Li/Li symmetric cell above. A very exciting finding here is the complete lack of any traces of transition metal oxide dissolution (Mn 2p and Ni 3p) and subsequent deposition at the anode surface, for either electrolyte, even after high current density cycling (Fig. S11, ESI†). This shows that the FSI based ionic liquid (with or without DME) electrolyte not only stabilises the Li metal surface but is also effective in suppressing dissolution of the NMC cathodes.

**Electrolyte interfacial chemistry analysis via molecular dynamics simulation**

In order to understand the different electrochemical behaviours of the two systems at the molecular level, we conducted MD simulations to clarify the effect of DME on the interfacial chemistry and structure of the salt-concentrated IL electrolyte near a Li (100) electrode surface, to understand their difference in the formation of the SEI (the computational methods and details are described in Methods and ESI†). The number densities of different ion species in both 100IL (left) and 80IL20DME (right) systems were calculated first to investigate the effect of DME on the layered structure of the IL interface usually formed near an electrode surface.33,34 The number of ion layers are represented by the multiple peaks in the number density profiles, as shown in Fig. 4a, which are not significantly affected by adding 20 wt% of DME. Previous studies have shown that the Li salt concentration substantially affects the formation of interfacial layering, and the high salt concentration adopted in this work significantly reduces the number of ion layers present in neat ILs or low-salt concentration ILs.17,35

Fig. 4a also suggests that the electrolyte composition of the innermost layer (that is, the first ion layer within a distance of 0.6 nm to the Li(100) surface) changes with the surface charge and the presence or absence of DME, which consequently affects the next-step of electrolyte reduction to form the SEI during cell polarisation. The innermost layer of the 100IL is composed of C$_3$mpyr$^+$, Li$^+$, and FSI$^-$. The concentration of Li$^+$ changes dramatically in this layer with the applied surface charge. The lowest Li$^+$ concentration appears at the lower negative surface charge density of ~6.0 μC cm$^{-2}$, whereas the highest Li$^+$ concentration is seen at the ~14.4 μC cm$^{-2}$ surface charge density. FSI$^-$ exists in this layer through coordinating with Li$^+$ to form Li-FSI ion pairs (commonly seen at low Li$^+$ concentration) and Li$_x$(FSI)$_y$ molten salt-like ion aggregates (dominated at high Li$^+$ concentration with the high negative surface charge) (Fig. 4b). The formation of the molten salt-like structure and its uniform coverage on the anode are considered to be the critical reason for the stable cycling of alkali metal anodes such as Na an Li in the super-concentrated electrolytes, as suggested in our previous works.17,18,31 This is because such a chemical composition can generate favourable and uniform SEI products from the FSI$^-$ reduction reaction. This understanding also helps to explain the stable cycling of the lithium anode here.

In the 80IL20DME, the presence of DME in the innermost layer is observed regardless of the applied surface charges. The DME alters the composition of the inner electrolyte layers differently, dependent upon the applied surface charge, as reflected from the change of the first peak in the number density profile (Fig. 4a, right column). Near the uncharged electrode surface (0 μC cm$^{-2}$), the intensity of the first number density peak of Li$^+$ and FSI$^-$ is lower than the corresponding peak in the 100IL system, which indicates that the presence of DME is more likely to exclude Li$^+$ and FSI$^-$ ions rather than C$_3$mpyr$^+$ cations. This can be explained by the difference in their interaction energy with the lithium surface in Fig. 4c. DME interacts with the uncharged lithium metal surface more strongly than Li$^+$, but not as strongly as the C$_3$mpyr$^+$, thus accounting for the higher C$_3$mpyr$^+$ concentration relative to Li$^+$ (18.2 vs. 15.5, Table S4, ESI†). However, when a low negative surface charge density of ~6.0 μC cm$^{-2}$ is applied, the increase number of DME molecules obviously helps to suppress the relative increase in the number of C$_3$mpyr$^+$ that is observed in the 100IL system. This likely explains the decrease of the cation components and the increase of the DME reduction products on the cycled Li metal anode measured from XPS in the 80IL20DME, i.e., a decrease in the relative C–N peak intensity (Fig. 3h and k) and an increase in the O 1s peak (Fig. 3i and l) observed in the XPS analysis above.

The effect of high applied current density in the experiment was studied by simulating a higher negative surface charge density of ~14.4 μC cm$^{-2}$. Similar to the 100IL system, in case of the 80IL20DME, the higher negative surface charge attracts more Li$^+$ and FSI$^-$ to the innermost layer, simultaneously excluding DME and C$_3$mpyr$^+$, which is in contrast to the case of ~6.0 μC cm$^{-2}$. This is due to the significant increase in the interaction energy between Li$^+$ and the lithium metal surface at the higher negative charge density of ~14.4 μC cm$^{-2}$ (Fig. 4c), which exceeds either the C$_3$mpyr$^+$-Li (100) or DME-Li (100) interactions (Fig. 4c). Additionally, considering the larger size of C$_3$mpyr$^+$, the smaller Li$^+$ can accumulate more readily at interface to more effectively shield the higher surface charge of ~14.4 μC cm$^{-2}$. However, compared to the 100IL, the amount of Li$^+$ and FSI$^-$ is still less at the higher surface charge in the presence of DME. Furthermore, the diffusion of DME was shown to be faster than that of Li$^+$ and FSI$^-$ in the bulk electrolyte,19 and we would anticipate this to also be true at the interface. On average, we would expect the overall mass transport in the cell to result in a higher DME concentration near the interface during cycling of the 80IL20DME electrolyte,
and thus we rationalise the observation of an increase in LiF, ROxLi and Li₂O content on the electrode surface after 100 cycles. The formation of the more homogeneous interface morphology in the 80IL10DME can be also assisted by faster ion motion at the interface compared with 100IL, as indicated from the bulk phase simulation.¹⁹

Future work will investigate detailed FSI decomposition mechanisms through the ab initio MD simulations, however, with the current MD simulation studies, we can still analyse the potential impact on the formation of the SEI from the change in interface chemistry. Compared with 100IL (where Li⁺ is only coordinated with 2–3 FSI⁻), in 80IL20DME, Li⁺ also

---

Fig. 4  Electrolyte interface analysis through MD simulations. (a) Number density profiles of C₃mpyr⁺ (blue), FSI⁻ (red), Li⁺ (grey) and DME (green) for 100IL (left column) and 80IL20DME (right column) Li(100) electrode surface with different applied surface charge densities. The vertical dashed line represents the position of the electrode top surface. (b) The snapshot of innermost electrolyte layer in 100IL and 80IL20DME systems with different applied surface charge densities. (c) Interaction energy between cations/DME and different charged lithium metal surfaces, taken from MD simulations.
has a hybrid coordination structure with 1 DME and 1–2 FSI, as suggested by the Li-FSI and Li-DME radial distribution functions (RDFs) (Fig. S12, ESF†). The participation of DME in Li⁺ coordination also changes Li-FSI coordination geometry. Compared with the mono-dentate (A₁) Li-FSI geometry that dominates in 100IL at the highest surface charge density, the bi-dentate (A₂) Li-FSI geometry still slightly dominates in 80IL20DME (Fig. S12a and c, ESF†). Different Li-FSI coordination geometries will affect the binding energy and reductive stability of the Li⁺ coordinating clusters, as indicated by density functional theory (DFT) calculations on a number of properties of the optimized Li(FSI)_3 and Li(FSI)_2(DME)_1 clusters (Fig. S13). Generally, Li-FSI mono-dentate coordination has lower LUMO orbital energy than bi-dentate coordination, and Li(FSI)_2(DME)_1 has lower LUMO orbital than Li(FSI)_3, implying a change in electron acceptance and thus reductive stability and this could affect the FSI decomposition mechanism as implied from the XPS study.

Conclusion

We propose a non-flammable ether-aided ionic liquid electrolyte to stabilise the high voltage NMC811 and NMC622 battery chemistries in a high specific energy Li metal battery configuration. Key barriers to the commercialisation of high energy density practical LMB are dead or inactive lithium formation and dendrite short circuits that are shown to be substantially diminished in the new electrolyte. A quantitative measurement of relative inactive Li and SEI components on Li anodes is perfectly correlated from a Li|Cu cell study, where less inactive lithium and increased SEI product formation correlates with a homogeneously plated Li surface during long term cycling in the ether-aided ionic liquid. The Li anode SEI layer is rich in fluoride and oxide based inorganic species, and contributes to facile cycling without any lithium dendrite growth. High voltage NMC cathodes were cycled against a 100 μm Li anode in an LMB under high current density (1.75 mA cm⁻²) as well as high voltage (4.4 V). The high electrochemical stability of the proposed ether-ionic liquid electrolyte indicates that the application of this electrolyte is not limited to only NMC cathodes, but also other high voltage battery chemistries as well. This work hereby introduces a safer electrolyte technology, suitable to be used in the practical application of next generation LMBs. Finally, we believe this approach will have universality and can be used for further hybrid IL/organic solvent electrolyte design including the addition of more conventional carbonate solvents, although the precise formulations will likely be chemistry dependent. Future work will use the methodologies we have designed in this current work to optimise electrolyte design.

Experimental methods

Materials

All the chemicals reported here, were of analytical grade. The N-methyl-N-propylpyrrolidinium bis[(fluorosulfonyl)imide] C₃mpyrFSI™ ionic liquid and lithium bis[(fluorosulfonyl)imide] (LiFSI) salt were purchased from Solvoinic (purity 99.5%). The ionic liquid (IL) was dried under vacuum in Schlenk-line at 50 °C for ~48 hours until the moisture content was < 20 ppm as measured by the Karl–Fischer analysis. 1,2 dimethoxyethane (DME) was purchased from Sigma-Aldrich with 99.9% purity. All materials were stored in an argon filled glove box for further preparation of electrolyte, where the moisture and oxygen content were maintained at <1 ppm. NMC811 and NMC622 cathodes with two different active mass loadings (further details on mass loading and areal capacity discussed below) were purchased from CustomCells. All the cathode materials were dried in a vacuum oven prior to their transfer in an argon filled glove box for further preparation of coin cells.

Electrolyte preparation

In this study two electrolytes were explored. The 100IL electrolyte was prepared by making a 3.2 mol kg⁻¹ LiFSI solution in neat C₃mpyrFSI IL at 50 °C. In a similar way, the 80IL20DME electrolyte was prepared by dissolving LiFSI in a mixture of IL/DME 80:20 (w:w) solution at a concentration of 3.2 mol kg⁻¹. Both the electrolyte solutions were stirred until complete dissolution and then kept in a screw capped vial for further use. All preparations were carried out inside the argon filled glovebox.

Electrochemical measurements

The electrochemical stability window was measured by linear sweep voltammetry (LSV) from OCV to 6 V vs. Li/Li⁺ at a scan rate of 10 mV sec⁻¹ on a Biologic SP200 potentiostat in a three-electrode configuration with glassy carbon (ALS Co.) platinum wire (APS, 99.95%) and silver as the working, counter and reference electrodes, respectively. The silver reference electrode was prepared in-house by immersing a silver wire in a solution of C₃mpyrFSI ionic liquid containing 5 mM silver triflate (AgOTf) separated by a glass frit. The Ag/Ag⁺ redox potential was calibrated to the ferrocene (Fc/Fc⁺) redox potential after the experiment and converted against Li/Li⁺ redox potential. Coin cell testing of NMC811 cathode foil with ~6.4 mg cm⁻² mass loading (an areal capacity about 1 mA h cm⁻²) was used for the long-term studies within the potential range of 4.2 V and 2.8 V vs. Li/Li⁺. NMC 622 cathode foil with ~6 mg cm⁻² mass loading (an areal capacity about 1 mA h cm⁻²) was used for the long-term studies within the potential range between 4.3 V and 2.8 V vs. Li/Li⁺. Cycling studies have been carried out at room temperature as well as elevated temperature (50 °C) and mentioned in the manuscript where necessary. All the cathodes were cut into 8 mm diameter discs using an EL-Cell precision handheld electrode cutter and dried before use. A lithium metal foil of 50 μm thickness (Gelon) was used as the anode (and current collector) for the battery measurements. A higher capacity NMC622 cathode foil with a mass loading of 22.4 mg cm⁻² (an areal capacity about ~3.5 mA h cm⁻²) was also used to test the cycling stability within the potential range between 4.3 V and 2.8 V where a 100 μm thick Li metal foil was used as anode for the cycling. As we are using commercially formulated cathode materials and due to the unavailability of such high loading NMC811 cathode, we could not compare the performances of high loading NMC622 vs. NMC 811. The rate
performance of NMC622|Li was tested in two modes, by fixing either a constant charge rate of 0.5 mA cm$^{-2}$ (≈C/7) or a discharge rate of 0.5 mA cm$^{-2}$ (≈C/7) and varying the discharge or charge rates, respectively, from C/7 to C/5, C/2, 1C and C/7 for five cycles each (where 1C is considered ≈3.5 mA cm$^{-2}$). CR2032 coin cells were procured from Hohsen Corp and used in this study with Celgard 3501 separator. The separator was pre-soaked by the electrolytes (≈20 μL) in each case to improve wetting followed by the electrodes wetting (20 μL); hence a total amount of 40 μL electrolyte was used in each cell.

Anode-free NMC622|Cu cell was prepared by assembling NMC622 electrode (mass loading of 22.4 mg cm$^{-2}$, 8 mm diameter), a copper (Cu) electrode (10 mm diameter) and a Celgard 3501 separator with 40 μL of electrolyte for the measurement. The preparation of Cu electrode was adopted from our previous work.$^{19}$

Inactive lithium quantification studies were carried out in a Li|Cu cell by plating Li on to the Cu surface at a current density of 0.5 mA cm$^{-2}$ for a total capacity of 4 mA h cm$^{-2}$ and stripping to 1 V. Only the first cycle was considered for this measurement. After the stripping process, the cells were collected and stored under Ar atmosphere for the TGC measurement as previously reported by Fang et al.$^{21}$ Further, plating of Li on Cu substrate at a current density of 0.5 mA cm$^{-2}$ for a total capacity of 1 mA h cm$^{-2}$ was carried out to study the different deposition morphologies for the two electrolyte systems. The long term coulombic efficiency was measured under the same current density for a total capacity of 1 mA h cm$^{-2}$ and stripping to 0.5 V for 200 cycles. All the Li|Cu cell study were carried out at 50°C.

The cycling performance and subsequent impedance analysis of the symmetrical Li|Li cells with 100IL and 80IL20DME electrolytes were evaluated by reversible plating/stripping of Li equivalent to 1 mA h cm$^{-2}$ capacity at a current density of 1 mA cm$^{-2}$ at 50°C, with one hour of polarization in each direction for 100 cycles. 100 μm Li with 8 mm diameter discs were used in symmetrical cell analysis. The plated surface after 100 cycles was retrieved for surface characterization and stored in the argon filled glove box.

**Molecular dynamics simulations**

The all-atom molecular dynamic simulations were conducted using GROMACS software package.$^{36}$ The OPLS force field functional form developed by Prof. William L. Jorgensen was used to describe the ionic systems here. The force field parameters for C$_3$mpyrFSI and Li salt were taken from the Canongia Lopes-Padua (CL&P) force field$^{37}$ based on the same OPLS functional. The parameters for DME are generated using LigParGen OPLS parameter generator.$^{38}$ The total ion net charge of the symmetrical Li||Li cells with 100IL and 80IL20DME, respectively, at 298 K.

**Characterizations**

FEI Scios DualBeam system was used to conduct the Cryo-FIB/SEM characterization. All samples were transferred from glovebox to the FIB/SEM chamber with an Air-tight transfer holder to minimize air exposure. Liquid Nitrogen was used to cool down the samples to −180°C using the built-in cooling pipeline to minimize the beam damage to the sample. Gallium ion beam with a voltage of 30 kV, current of 7 nA and dwell time of 100 ns was used to roughly mill down the cross-section of the deposited lithium followed by a cleaning process with ion beam at 1 nA. The SEM images of the cross-section were taken using Everhart-Thornley Detector (ETD) at 5 kV and 0.1 nA.

The Li deposited Cu foils together with the separator were recovered from the Li|Cu cell and then were put into a 30 mL bottle without washing. The bottle was then sealed with rubber stopper and metal wires to prevent leaking. The internal pressure of the bottle was then adjusted to 1 atm by connecting the bottle and glovebox environment, whose internal pressure has been adjusted to 1 atm, with an open-ended syringe needle. After taking out the bottle from the glovebox, deionized (DI) water (0.5 mL) was injected into the bottle and the residual inactive metallic lithium reacts with the DI water to form H$_2$ gas. The as-generated gas was then well mixed by shaking and a gas-tight syringe was used to quickly take 30 μL of the gas from the sealed bottle. The gas was then injected into a Nexis GC-2030 Gas Chromatograph (Shimadzu) for H$_2$ measurement. A pre-established H$_2$ calibration curve was used to calculate the mass of inactive metallic lithium from the measured H$_2$ peak area.

X-Ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS) was performed using an AXIS Supra by Kratos Analytica. The samples were prepared in an argon-filled glovebox (H$_2$O < 0.1 ppm). The XPS was operated using an Al anode source at 15 kV, scanning with 100 ms dwell time and a step size of 0.1 eV. The XPS data were analysed using the CASA XPS software (v. 2.3.22PR1.0) to identify the chemical composition on the surface of the electrodes. The spectra were calibrated based on C–C peak at 284.8 eV.
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